

| | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/721,190 | | ALTICE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | RICHARD M. BEMBEN | | 2622 | |

All Participants:

(1) RICHARD M. BEMBEN.

(2) Jennifer M. McCue (Reg. No. 55,440).

Date of Interview: 14 January 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19, 27, 28, 49

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Incorporating dependent claim 28 into independent claim 27 (renumbered 14) would place claim 27 in condition for allowance.

Incorporating dependent claim 49 into independent claim 19 (renumbered 6) would place claim 19 in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)